

MATH342 Feedback and Solutions 9

1.

a) Since $p^2 - q^2 = (p + q)(p - q)$, we look for p and q with

$$x = p + q, \quad y = p - q,$$

that is,

$$p = \frac{x + y}{2}, \quad q = \frac{x - y}{2}.$$

If x and y are both even or both odd, then $x + y$ and $x - y$ are both even, and hence p and q are both integers.

This question asked to show that if x and y are both odd or both even then p and q are integers – not the converse, which is what some solutions that I saw did. The converse is also true of course.

b) Since $p + q = p - q + 2q$, either both $p + q$ and $p - q$ are odd or they are both even. If they are both odd then $(p - q)(p + q)$ is odd and if they are both even then $(p - q)(p + q) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

An alternative solution that I saw, also correct, used that each of p^2 and q^2 is either $0 \pmod{4}$ or $1 \pmod{4}$. That gives 4 choices for $(p \pmod{4}, q \pmod{4})$, of which two give $p^2 - q^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and the other two give $\pm 1 \pmod{4}$. So $2 \pmod{4}$ is not possible.

2.

a) If x and y are both odd then $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and so

$$z^2 = x^2 + y^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}.$$

So z is even. But then $4 \mid z^2$ and $z^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, which is a contradiction.

b) If $x = y$, then $x^2 + y^2 = 2x^2$, and $z^2 = 2x^2$ is divisible by an odd power of 2. But the maximal power of any prime dividing z^2 is even.

This is essentially the proof that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational, because $z^2 = 2x^2$ for strictly positive integers z and x if and only if $\sqrt{2} = x/z$ for strictly positive integers x and z , that is, if and only if $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. The notation for the set of rational numbers is \mathbb{Q} , not \mathbb{Z} .

3. The table is as follows, ordered in increasing values of $p^2 + q^2$.

$p + qi$	$p^2 - q^2$	$2pq$	$p^2 + q^2$
$2 + i$	3	4	5
$3 + 2i$	5	12	13
$4 + i$	15	8	17
$4 + 3i$	7	24	25
$5 + 2i$	21	20	29
$6 + i$	35	12	37
$5 + 4i$	9	40	41
$7 + 2i$	45	28	53
$6 + 5i$	11	60	61
$8 + i$	63	16	65
$7 + 4i$	33	56	65
$8 + 3i$	55	48	73
$7 + 6i$	13	84	85
$8 + 5i$	39	80	89
$8 + 7i$	15	112	113

Some did not notice that it is only necessary to consider (p, q) such that exactly one of p and q is even. The question did specify this. If both p and q are odd or both even, then all three of the numbers $(p^2 - q^2, 2pq, p^2 + q^2)$ in the Pythagorean triple are even.

4. The non-prime values of $p^2 + q^2$ are $25 = 5 \times 5$, $65 = 5 \times 13$ and $85 = 5 \times 17$.

The three primes 5, 13 and 17 occur earlier in the table. There are two rows with $p^2 + q^2 = 65$, and there would be two with $p^2 + q^2 = 85$, if the table were continued. The reason is that, if $p^2 + q^2$ is not a prime integer, then $(p + qi)(p - qi) = n_1 n_2$ for integers $n_1 > 1$ and $n_2 > 1$. But then by unique factorisation of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, it cannot be the case that both $p + qi$ and $p - qi$ are prime. Since complex conjugation preserves multiplication, they are both not prime. So there are p_1, q_1, p_2 and $q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$p + qi = (p_1 + q_1 i)(p_2 + q_2 i).$$

Since p and q are co-prime, all of p_1, q_1, p_2 and q_2 are non-zero. So

$$(p + qi)^2 = (p_1 + q_1 i)^2 (p_2 + q_2 i)^2.$$

If $p_1 + q_1 i \neq p_2 + q_2 i$, then we can obtain $r + is$ with $|r + is|^2 = |p + iq|^2$ and with $r \neq 0, s \neq 0$ and $\{r, s\} \not\subset \{\pm p, \pm q\}$ by taking

$$r + is = (p_1 + q_1 i) \overline{(p_2 + q_2 i)}.$$

Now consider the example of $65 = 5 \times 13$. The rows with 5 and 13 in the last column have $2 + i$ and $3 + 2i$ respectively in the first columns. We have

$$(2 + i)(3 + 2i) = 4 + 7i, \quad (2 + i)(3 - 2i) = 8 - i.$$

Since $|4 + 7i| = |7 + 4i|$, and $|8 - i| = |8 + i|$, this confirms that

$$|7 + 4i|^2 = |8 + i|^2.$$

Now consider $85 = 5 \times 17$. The row with 17 in the last column has $4 + i$ in the first entry. We have

$$(2 + i)(4 + i) = 7 + 6i, \quad (2 + i)(4 - i) = 9 + 2i$$

It is easily checked that

$$|7 + 6i|^2 = 85 = |9 + 2i|^2.$$

Of course $(9, 2)$ is not in the table given, but does appear if the table is extended. We do not get a second triple from $25 = 5^2$, because 25 is not a product of distinct primes. But the row ending in 5 has $2 + i$ in the first entry, and the row ending in 25 has $4 + 3i$ in the first entry. It is easily checked that

$$(2 + i)^2 = 3 + 4i$$

and of course $|3 + 4i| = |4 + 3i|$.

5.

a) If one of a and b is odd and the other is even, then $a^2 - 5b^2$ is odd. So either both a and b are odd or both even. If they are both even then $a^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $b^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and hence $a^2 - 5b^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. If they are both odd then $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Since also $5 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have $a^2 - 5b^2 \equiv 1 - 5 \times 1 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$.

b) Suppose $2 = cd$ for c and $d \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ or $c, d \in \mathcal{O}[\sqrt{5}]$. Then $v(2) = 4 = v(c)v(d)$. By a) v cannot take the value ± 2 . If $v(c) = 2$ and $c \in \mathcal{O}[\sqrt{5}] \setminus \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$, then this follows from $c = (e_1 + e_2\sqrt{5})/2$ where e_1 and e_2 are both odd integers, so that $e_1^2 - 5e_2^2$ cannot take the value ± 8 . So without loss of generality $v(c) = 4$ and $v(d) = 1$, that is, d is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ (or $\mathcal{O}[\sqrt{5}]$). So 2 is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ (or $\mathcal{O}[\sqrt{5}]$).

It is also possible to argue directly that if $2 = (c_1 + c_2\sqrt{5})(d_1 + d_2\sqrt{5})$ for integers c_1, c_2, d_1 and d_2 , with both c_1 and $c_2 \neq 0$, then $(d_1, d_2) = k(c_1, -c_2)$ for an integer k . I saw solutions which appeared to assume this, but without proof. It can be proved, but is not very quick and easy. To see it:

$$2 = (c_1 d_1 + 5c_2 d_2 + \sqrt{5}(c_2 d_1 + c_1 d_2),$$

and hence $c_2 d_1 + c_1 d_2 = 0$. So $d_2/c_2 = -d_1/c_1$ and $(d_1, d_2) = (d_1/c_1)(c_1, -c_2)$. Since c_1 and c_2 have to be coprime, d_1/c_1 must be an integer. A similar result holds if c_1, c_2, d_1 and d_2 are half integers. In that case, d_1/c_1 can be a half integer.

c)

$$(\sqrt{5} - 1)(1 + \sqrt{5}) = 4 = 2^2.$$

2 and $\sqrt{5} - 1$ and $\sqrt{5} + 1$ are all inequivalent irreducibles in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$, because the only units in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ are ± 1 . But $(\sqrt{5} \pm 1)/2$ are units in $\mathcal{O}[\sqrt{5}]$, and so since

$$2 = (\sqrt{5} - 1)((\sqrt{5} + 1)/2) = (\sqrt{5} + 1)((\sqrt{5} - 1)/2),$$

all three of 2, $\sqrt{5} + 1$ and $\sqrt{5} - 1$ are equivalent irreducibles in $\mathcal{O}[\sqrt{5}]$ (in fact, equivalent primes, because $\mathcal{O}[\sqrt{5}]$ is a unique factorisation domain).