

## Solutions to Practice exam

2 marks	1. $x \equiv y \pmod{n} \Leftrightarrow n \mid (x - y)$ .
3 marks	Clearly, multiplying by $m$ , $x \equiv y \pmod{n} \Rightarrow mx \equiv my \pmod{n}$ . If $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ then there are integers $a$ and $b$ such that $an + bm = 1$ . Then $bm \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . So if $mx \equiv my \pmod{n}$ then $bm x \equiv bmy \pmod{n}$ , that is, $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$ .
2 marks	a) $3x \equiv 6 \pmod{9} \Leftrightarrow x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$
2 marks	b) $3x \equiv 5 \pmod{6} \Rightarrow 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , which is not true. So there are no integer solutions
2 marks	<p>c) If <math>x = 0, 1, 2, 3</math> or <math>4</math>, then <math>x^2 + x + 1</math> is <math>1, 3, 2, 1</math> or <math>4 \pmod{5}</math>. So there are no integer solutions. Another more sophisticated way to do this is to note that, multiplying by <math>x - 1</math>,</p> $x^2 + x + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \Rightarrow x^3 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \Rightarrow x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ <p>where the last implication uses Fermat's Little Theorem, and the fact that <math>\gcd(3, 4) = 1</math>. But <math>1^2 + 1 + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}</math> so there are no solutions to the original equation</p>
2 marks	<p>d)</p> $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \Rightarrow (x - 1)(x + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \Rightarrow x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{7}.$
7 marks	<p>e) We have <math>3^{-1} \equiv 5 \pmod{7}</math> and <math>3^{-1} \equiv 2 \pmod{5}</math>. So multiplying the first equation by <math>5</math> and the third by <math>2</math>, our system of simultaneous equations becomes</p> $x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}, \quad x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, \quad x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}.$ <p>There is a solution since any two of <math>5, 6</math> and <math>7</math> are coprime. The lcm of these three is <math>210</math> so the answer will be unique <math>\pmod{210}</math>. From the first equation we obtain <math>x = 5 + 7y</math>. Substituting in the second equation gives <math>y \equiv 0 \pmod{6}</math> and hence <math>y = 6z</math> and <math>x = 42z + 5</math>. Substituting in the third equation gives <math>2z + 5 \equiv 4 \pmod{5}</math>, that is, <math>z \equiv 2 \pmod{5}</math>. So <math>x \equiv 89 \pmod{210}</math>.</p> <p>Alternatively we can use the Chinese Remainder formula. Since <math>6 \times 5 = 30 \equiv 2 \pmod{7}</math> has inverse <math>4 \pmod{7}</math>, <math>7 \times 5 = 35</math> has inverse <math>5 \pmod{7}</math> and <math>7 \times 6 = 42</math> has inverse <math>3 \pmod{5}</math>, the solution is</p> $x \equiv 5 \times 4 \times 30 + 5 \times 5 \times 35 + 4 \times 3 \times 42 \equiv -30 + 35 + 84 \equiv 89 \pmod{210}.$

4 marks	2a) Since $k \mid n!$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n$ , we also have $k \mid n! + k$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$ . Since $k + n! > k$ , the number $n! + k$ is composite. There are $n - 1$ of these numbers and so if $p$ is the largest prime $\leq n! + 1$ and $q$ is the smallest $\geq n! + n + 1$ we have $q - p \geq n$ , and $G(p, q)$ is a prime gap of length $\geq n$
1 mark	The first 4 primes are: $2, 3, 5, 7, 11.$ So if we take $p = 7$ then $p$ is the smallest prime such that $G(p, q)$ is a prime gap of length 6 for some $q$ : with $q = 11$ and $G(p, q) = G(7, 11)$ .
2 marks	b) FTA: Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $n \geq 2$ . Then there are primes $q_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $q_i < q_{i+1}$ and $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $n = \prod_{i=1}^m q_i^{k_i}$ . This representation is unique.
3 marks	Now if $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $n \geq 2$ is composite, we can write $n = k \times \ell$ for integers $k$ and $\ell$ with $1 < k \leq \ell < n$ . Then $k^2 \leq k \times \ell = n$ and $k \leq \sqrt{n}$ . By the FTA there is a prime $p$ with $p \mid k$ . But then $p \mid n$ also, and $p \leq \sqrt{n}$ also.
3 marks	We have $7^2 = 49 < 89$ and $11^2 = 121 > 97$ . the primes $\leq 7$ are 2, 3, 5 and 7. Clearly neither number is divisible by 2 or 5 – not by 3 since in each case the sum of the digits is not divisible by 3. Also neither number is divisible by 7 as the residues mod 7 of 89 and 97 are 5 and 6 respectively.
1 mark	c) $\pi(x)$ is the number of primes $\leq x$
2 marks	Prime Number Theorem: $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x / \ln x} = 1.$
4 marks	If $n$ is sufficiently large given $n$ , we have $\pi(n) \leq \frac{5n}{4 \ln n}$ . If $m$ is the largest integer with $p_m \leq n$ then $\pi(n) = m$ . If $p_{k+1} - p_k \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln n$ for all $k \leq m$ then $n \leq p_{m+1} - 1 \leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^m (p_{k+1} - p_k) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2} \ln n \times m \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2} \times \ln n \times \frac{5}{4} \times \frac{n}{\ln n} = 1 + \frac{5n}{8}.$ This gives a contradiction if $3n/8 > 1$ , in particular, for $n \geq 3$ .

2 marks	3. Fermat's Little Theorem: Let $p$ be prime. Then $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ if $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .
2 marks	(i) By Fermat's Little Theorem with $p = 17$ we have $a^{16} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$ for all integers $a$ which are coprime to 17 – which includes 2 and 3. So, since $96 = 6 \times 16$ , $2^{96} + 3^{96} \equiv 2^6 + 3^6 \equiv 0 \pmod{17},$ which means that $2^{96} + 3^{96}$ is divisible by 17
4 marks	(ii) The order of any element of $G_{17}$ is a divisor of 16, that is $2^k$ for any $0 \leq k \leq 4$ . We have $2^4 \equiv -1 \pmod{17}$ and hence $2^8 \equiv 1$ . So 2 has order 8, $4 = 2^2$ has order 4, $4^2 = 16 \equiv -1$ has order 2. Of course, 1 has order 1. To find an element of order 16: $3^2 = 9$ and $3^4 = 81 \equiv -4$ . So $3^8 \equiv -1$ and 3 has order 16.
4 marks	The primitive elements are all elements of the form $3^n$ where $n$ is coprime to 16. There are 8 such elements, given by the odd numbers $< 16$ . Apart from 3 itself we have $3^3 \equiv 10$ , $3^5 \equiv 90 \equiv 5$ , $3^7 \equiv 45 \equiv 11$ , $3^9 \equiv 99 \equiv 14 \equiv -3$ , and the others must be $-10 \equiv 7$ , $-5 \equiv 12$ and $-11 \equiv 6$ . So altogether the primitive elements are $3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 14.$
3 marks	If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$ then $\frac{n^m - 1}{n - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} n^k \equiv m \pmod{17},$ because $n^k \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . So this is divisible by 17 if and only if $m$ is divisible by 17.
2 marks	If $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{17}$ then $\frac{n^m - 1}{n - 1}$ is divisible by 17 if and only if $n^m \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$ . Since $n \not\equiv 1$ , this is only possible if $\gcd(m, 16) > 1$ , or, equivalently, since $16 = 2^4$ , if $m$ is even.
3 marks	If $m$ is even but $m$ is not divisible by 4 and $n^m \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$ then $\gcd(m, 16) = 2$ and the order of $n \pmod{17}$ must be 2. The only possibility is $n \equiv -1 \pmod{17}$ . If $m$ is divisible by 4 but not 8 then $\gcd(m, 16) = 4$ and if $n^m \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$ then the order of $n \pmod{17}$ must be 2 or 4. The elements of order 4 are $\pm 4 \pmod{17}$ . So the only possible solutions are $-1 \pmod{17}$ and $\pm 4 \pmod{17}$ .

1 mark	4. For any integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , $\phi(n)$ is the number of $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $k \leq n$ such that $\gcd(k, n) = 1$
2 marks	<p>If <math>p</math> is prime and <math>a \geq 1</math>, then for <math>k \leq p^a</math>, we have</p> $\gcd(k, p^a) > 1 \Leftrightarrow p \mid k \Leftrightarrow k = p^\ell, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq p^{a-1}.$ <p>So</p> $\phi(p^a) = p^a - p^{a-1} = p^{a-1}(p - 1).$
2 marks	<p>The divisors of <math>p^a</math> are <math>p^i</math> for <math>0 \leq i \leq a</math>, and</p> $\int p^a = \sum_{i=0}^a p^i = \frac{p^{a+1} - 1}{p - 1}.$
3 marks	<p>If</p> $n = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{k_i}$ <p>where the <math>p_i</math> are all distinct primes and <math>m_i \geq 1</math> then</p> $\phi(n) = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{k_i-1}(p_i - 1),$ <p>and</p> $\int n = \prod \frac{p_i^{k_i+1} - 1}{p_i - 1}.$
3 marks	<p>We have</p> $2016 = 2^3 \times 252 = 2^5 \times 63 = 2^5 \times 3^2 \times 7.$ <p>So</p> $\phi(2016) = 2^4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 6 = 64 \times 9 = 576.$
3 marks	$\begin{aligned} \phi(11!) &= \phi(2 \times 3 \times 2^2 \times 5 \times 2 \times 3 \times 7 \times 2^3 \times 3^2 \times 2 \times 5 \times 11) \\ &= \phi(2^8 \times 3^4 \times 5^2 \times 7 \times 11) = 2^7 \times 3^3 \times 2 \times 5 \times 2^2 \times 6 \times 10 \\ &= 2^{12} \times 3^4 \times 5^2 = 8294400. \end{aligned}$
6 marks	<p>If <math>p</math> is prime and <math>p \mid n</math> then <math>p - 1 \mid \phi(n)</math>. If <math>p</math> is an odd prime then <math>\phi(p^k) = p^{k-1}(p - 1)</math> is even for any integer <math>k \geq 1</math>, and <math>\phi(2^k) = 2^{k-1}</math>. Taking the product of these we see that <math>\phi(n)</math> is even for all <math>n</math>, unless <math>n = 2</math>, and <math>\phi(2) = 1</math>. If <math>n = n_1 n_2</math> then <math>\phi(n) = \phi(n_1)\phi(n_2)</math>. If <math>\phi(n) = 10</math> and <math>n = n_1 n_2</math> for coprime <math>n_1</math> and <math>n_2</math> then, without loss of generality, <math>\phi(n_1) = 10</math> and <math>\phi(n_2) = 1</math>. So <math>n_2 = 2</math> and <math>n_1</math> is odd – and prime. So <math>n_1 = 11</math>. So the only possibilities are <math>n = 11</math> and <math>n = 22</math>.</p>

3 marks	5. If $x \equiv y \pmod{n_1}$ and $x \equiv y \pmod{n_2}$ then $n_1 \mid x - y$ and $n_2 \mid x - y$ . Since $n_1$ and $n_2$ are coprime, this means that $n_1 n_2 \mid x - y$ and hence $x \equiv y \pmod{(n_1 n_2)}$ .
2 marks	For example take $n_1 = 4$ and $n_2 = 6$ . Take $x = 12$ and $y = 0$ . Then $x \equiv y \pmod{4}$ and $x \equiv y \pmod{6}$ but $x \not\equiv y \pmod{24}$
4 marks	$2046 = 11 \times 186$ and $2^{2046} = (2^{11})^{186} \equiv 1^{186} \equiv 1 \pmod{2047}$ If $2^{11} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ then by Fermat's Little Theorem $\gcd(11, p - 1) > 1$ and hence since 11 is prime we have $11 \mid p - 1$ , that is, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ . The only primes satisfying this under 100 are 23, 67 and 89. It is easily verified that 23 divides 2047 and $2047 = 23 \times 89$ .
2 marks	Korselt's condition on $n$ is that $n = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i$ where all the $p_i$ are distinct primes, and $p_i - 1 \mid n - 1$ for all $i$ .
3 marks	$2821 = 7 \times 403 = 7 \times 13 \times 31$ is a product of distinct primes, and $2820 = 2^2 \times 705 = 2^2 \times 5 \times 141 = 2^2 \times 5 \times 3 \times 47$ . Since $7 - 1 = 2 \times 3$ and $13 - 1 = 2^2 \times 3$ and $31 - 1 = 2 \times 3 \times 5$ all of these divide 2820, and 2821 is a Carmichael number.
1 mark	If $a^{n-1} = b^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ then $(ab^{-1})^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . So the set of pseudoprimes is a group
5 marks	As above, we have $G_{35} \cong G_5 \times G_7$ . Since 5 and 7 are prime, the groups $G_5$ and $G_7$ are cyclic of orders $4 = 5 - 1$ and $6 = 7 - 1$ . So the order of any element of $G_{35}$ is a divisor of $\text{lcm}(6, 4) = 12$ . Now $34 = 2 \times 17$ . For $a \in G_{35}$ , 35 is a pseudoprime to base $a$ (or $a \equiv 1$ ) if and only if $a^{34} \equiv 1 \pmod{35}$ . Since $\gcd(12, 34) = 2$ this happens if and only if $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{35}$ . Since $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ for just two elements of $G_5$ , and $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ for just two elements of $G_7$ there are four such elements of $G_{35}$ . They clearly include $\pm 1 \pmod{35}$ . The others are $\pm 6 \pmod{35}$ .

3 marks	<p>6a) For any <math>z \in \mathbb{C}</math>, write <math>z = x + iy</math> for real <math>x</math> and <math>y</math>. then there are integers <math>q_1</math> and <math>q_2</math> such that <math> x - q_1  \leq \frac{1}{2}</math> and <math> y - q_2  \leq \frac{1}{2}</math>. Then if <math>q = q_1 + iq_2</math> we have <math>q \in \mathbb{Z}[i]</math> and <math> z - q ^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{1}{2}</math>. Now let <math>a</math> and <math>b \in \mathbb{Z}[i]</math> with <math>b \neq 0</math> and let <math>q \in \mathbb{Z}[i]</math> with <math> a/b - q ^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} &lt; 1</math>. Then write <math>r = a - qb \in \mathbb{Z}[i]</math>. We have <math>v(r) r ^2 =  z - q ^2 b ^2 &lt;  b ^2 = v(b)</math> and <math>a = qb + r</math>. Also <math>v(cd) =  c ^2 d ^2 \geq  c ^2 = v(c)</math> for all <math>c</math> and <math>d \in \mathbb{Z}[i]</math> with <math>d \neq 0</math>. So both properties of a Euclidean function hold.</p>
3 marks	<p>b) Since conjugation is multiplicative,</p> $n = (s + it)(u + iv) \Leftrightarrow n = (s - it)(u - iv).$ <p>So <math>s + it</math> divides <math>n</math> if and only if <math>s - it</math> does, and</p> $s + it \mid n \Rightarrow s^2 + t^2 \mid n^2.$
3 marks	<p>If</p> $n_j = s_j^2 + t_j^2 = (s_j + it_j)\overline{(s_j + it_j)}$ <p>then</p> $n_1 n_2 = (s_1 + it_1)(s_2 + it_2)\overline{(s_1 + it_1)(s_2 + it_2)} = (s_1 s_2 - t_1 t_2)^2 + (s_1 t_2 + s_2 t_1)^2.$
3 marks	<p>c) Since <math>s + it</math> is prime in <math>\mathbb{Z}[i]</math>, we have <math>\gcd(s, t) = 1</math>. If</p> $(s + it)(s - it)s^2 + t^2 = uv$ <p>for integers <math>u</math> and <math>v \geq 2</math>, then neither <math>u</math> nor <math>v</math> divides <math>s + it</math> in <math>\mathbb{Z}[i]</math>, contradicting unique factorisation. So <math>s^2 + t^2</math> must be prime, and since <math>s^2 + t^2 \mid n^2</math> by a), we have <math>s^2 + t^2 \mid n</math>.</p>
5 marks	<p>d) If <math>n = s^2 + t^2</math> then we can write</p> $s + it = d \prod_{j=1}^k (s_j + it_j)$ <p>where <math>d \in \mathbb{Z}</math> and <math>s_j</math> and <math>t_j</math> are both non-zero integers, for all <math>1 \leq j \leq k</math>, and <math>s_j + it_j</math> is prime in <math>\mathbb{Z}[i]</math>. This gives</p> $s^2 + t^2 = d^2 \prod_{j=1}^k (s_j^2 + t_j^2)$ <p>and by d) <math>s_j^2 + t_j^2</math> is a positive prime integer for each <math>1 \leq j \leq k</math>. We have <math>k \geq 1</math> because both <math>s</math> and <math>t</math> are non-zero .</p>
3 marks	<p>e) Suppose there are only finitely many such primes <math>q_j</math> for <math>1 \leq j \leq n</math>, and let <math>N_1 = \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^2</math> and <math>N = N_1^2 + 1</math>. Then <math>N = N_1^2 + 1^2</math> is a sum of two non-zero integer squares. By d) there is a prime integer <math>p</math> dividing <math>N</math> which is also a sum of two integer squares. But then <math>p = q_j</math> for some <math>j</math>. This is impossible because <math>q_j</math> divides <math>N_1</math> and cannot also divide <math>N = N_1^2 + 1</math>.</p>

2 marks	<p>7. The Legendre symbol is defined by</p> $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \equiv a^2 \pmod{p} \text{ for some } a \in \mathbb{Z} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
5 marks	<p>If <math>q \equiv a^2 \pmod{p}</math> then <math>q^{(p-1)/2} \equiv a^{p-1} \equiv 1</math> by Fermat's Little Theorem. Conversely if <math>q^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1</math> and <math>b</math> is a primitive element of <math>G_p</math> and <math>q = b^m</math> then <math>b^{m(p-1)/2} \equiv 1</math> implies that <math>p-1 \mid m(p-1)/2</math>, that is, <math>m</math> must be even and hence <math>q \equiv (b^{(m-1)/2})^2</math>. Since</p> $F(q_1 q_2) \equiv (q_1 q_2)^{(p-1)/2} \equiv q_1^{(p-1)/2} q_2^{(p-1)/2} \equiv F(q_1) F(q_2) \pmod{p}$ <p>we see that <math>q \mapsto F(q) \pmod{p}</math> is a homomorphism. Since <math>-1 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}</math> we see that <math>F</math> itself is a homomorphism.</p>
2 marks	$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2}$
3 marks	<p>For any odd prime <math>p</math>,</p> $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = 1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}.$ <p>If <math>p</math> and <math>q</math> are odd primes, then</p> $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = (-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)/4}.$
2 marks	<p>So <math>\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)</math> and <math>\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)</math> have the same sign if and only if <math>p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}</math> — when they are both 1 — or <math>p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}</math> — when they are both <math>-1</math>.</p>
2 marks	$\left(\frac{5}{19}\right) \times \left(\frac{19}{5}\right) = (-1)^{2 \times 9} = 1 \text{ and } \left(\frac{19}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{4}{5}\right) = 1$ <p>since <math>4 = 2^2</math>. So</p> $\left(\frac{5}{19}\right) = 1.$

4 marks

We have

$$\left(\frac{46}{89}\right) = \left(\frac{23}{89}\right) \times \left(\frac{2}{89}\right) = 1 \times \left(\frac{23}{89}\right)$$

since  $89 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . Then

$$\left(\frac{23}{89}\right) \times \left(\frac{89}{23}\right) = (-1)^{11 \times 44} = 1.$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{89}{23}\right) = \left(\frac{20}{23}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{23}\right)^2 \times \left(\frac{5}{23}\right) = \left(\frac{5}{23}\right)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{5}{23}\right) \times \left(\frac{23}{5}\right) = (-1)^{2 \times 11} = 1.$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{23}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{5}\right) = -1.$$

So altogether we have

$$\left(\frac{46}{89}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{5}\right) = -1.$$

---