

Solutions to MATH105 exam January 2014  
Section A

<p>1 mark 2 marks 2 marks  2 marks  Standard home-work exercises 7 marks in total. No reasons required.</p>	<p>1a) <math>2 &lt; 3</math> or <math>5 &lt; 4</math>. This is true. b) <math>2 &gt; 3</math> and <math>4 \leq 5</math>. This is false. c) If <math>x</math> is rational then <math>x</math> is an integer. This is false. For example, 0.5 is rational, but not an integer. d) There exists a real number <math>x</math> such that <math>x^2 + 2x + 1 &lt; 0</math>. This is false, because <math>x^2 + 2x + 1 = (x + 1)^2 \geq 0</math>.</p>
<p>1 mark 1 mark 2 marks 2 marks Standard home-work exercises. 6 marks in total.</p>	<p>2a) <math>2 \geq 3 \wedge 5 \geq 4</math>. b) <math>2 \leq 3 \vee 4 &gt; 5</math>. c) <math>\exists x \in \mathbb{Q}, x \notin \mathbb{Z}</math>. d) <math>\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, x^2 + 2x + 1 \geq 0</math>.</p>
<p>1 mark  4 marks    1 mark Standard home-work exercise. 6 marks in total.</p>	<p>3. <b>Base case:</b> When <math>n = 0</math>, <math>1 &lt; \frac{3}{2} = x_0 &lt; 2</math>, so <math>1 &lt; x_0 &lt; 2</math> is true when <math>n = 0</math>. <b>Inductive step:</b> Suppose that <math>n \geq 0</math> and <math>1 &lt; x_n &lt; 2</math>. Then</p> $\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} < \frac{2}{3}x_n + \frac{1}{3} = x_{n+1} < \frac{4}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{3} < 2.$ <p>So if <math>n \geq 0</math>, <math>1 &lt; x_n &lt; 2 \Rightarrow 1 &lt; x_{n+1} &lt; 2</math>. So, by induction, <math>1 &lt; x_n &lt; 2</math> for all integers <math>n \geq 0</math>.</p>
<p>2 marks  4 marks   Bookwork 6 marks in total</p>	<p>4. If <math>m</math> and <math>n</math> are integers, then <math>m</math> divides <math>n</math> if <math>n = mk</math> for some integer <math>k</math>. If <math>m</math>, <math>n</math> and <math>p</math> are all integers, then <math>n = mk_1</math> and <math>p = nk_2</math> for integers <math>k_1</math> and <math>k_2</math>. So <math>p = nk_2 = mk_1k_2 = m(k_1k_2)</math>. Then since <math>k_1k_2</math> is an integer, <math>m</math> divides <math>p</math>.</p>

<p>1 mark 1 mark 1 mark Standard home-work exercises. 3 marks in total.</p>	<p>5a) <math>((0, 2] \cup [1, 3]) \cup [2, 4] = (0, 3] \cup [2, 4] = (0, 4]</math>  b) <math>(0, 2] \cap [1, 3] \cup [2, 4] = [1, 2] \cup [2, 4] = [1, 4]</math>.  c) <math>((0, 2] \cap [1, 3]) \setminus [2, 4] = [1, 2] \setminus [2, 4] = [1, 2)</math>.</p>
<p>4 marks  1 mark 1 mark 1 mark  2 marks Standard home-work exercise. 9 marks in total</p>	<p>6.</p> $\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1014 \\ 455 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{R_1 - 2R_2} & \begin{array}{c c} 1 & -2 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 104 \\ 455 \end{array} \end{array} \xrightarrow{R_2 - 4R_1} \begin{array}{c c} 1 & -2 \\ \hline -4 & 9 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 104 \\ 39 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c c} 9 & -20 \\ \hline -4 & 9 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 26 \\ 39 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{R_1 - 2R_2} & \begin{array}{c c} 9 & -20 \\ \hline -13 & 29 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 26 \\ 13 \end{array} \end{array} \xrightarrow{R_2 - R_1} \begin{array}{c c} 9 & -20 \\ \hline -13 & 29 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 26 \\ 13 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c c} 35 & -78 \\ \hline -13 & 29 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 13 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{R_1 - 2R_2} & \begin{array}{c c} 35 & -78 \\ \hline -13 & 29 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 13 \end{array} \end{array}$ <p>As a result of this:</p> <p>(i) the g.c.d. <math>d</math> is 13;  (ii) from the first row of the last matrix, <math>m_1 = 78</math> and <math>n_1 = 35</math>;  (iii) from the second row of either of the last two matrices <math>a = -13</math> and <math>b = 29</math>;  (iv) The l.c.m. is <math>1014 \times 35 = 35490</math>.</p>
<p>1 mark 2 marks  2 marks  3 marks  Standard theory followed by two standard home-work exercise and bookwork which was set in homework. 8 marks in total.</p>	<p>7. The image of <math>f</math>, <math>\text{Im}(f)</math>, is <math>\{f(x) : x \in X\}</math>.  a) <math>y = 2x + 1 \Leftrightarrow x = (y - 1)/2</math>. So in this case every real number <math>y</math> is in the image, and <math>\text{Im}(f) = \mathbb{R}</math>.  b) We have <math>0 \leq (y - 1)/2 \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq y - 1 \leq 2 \Leftrightarrow 1 \leq y \leq 3</math>. So in this case, with <math>X = [0, 1]</math>, we have <math>\text{Im}(f) = [1, 3]</math>.  By definition, <math>\text{Im}(g \circ f) = \{g(f(x)) : x \in X\}</math>. Since <math>f(x) \in Y</math> for all <math>x \in X</math>, we see that <math>\text{Im}(g \circ f) \subset \{g(y) : y \in Y\} = \text{Im}(g \circ f)</math>.</p>

<p>Standard theory. 2 marks 2 marks Standard theory followed by standard homework exercise 4 marks</p>	<p>8. A real number <math>x</math> is <i>algebraic</i> if there are <math>n \in \mathbb{N}</math> and integers <math>a_i</math>, for <math>0 \leq i \leq n</math>, such that <math>\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i = 0</math>. If <math>x = 1 + \sqrt{2}</math> then <math>(x - 1)^2 = 2</math>, that is, <math>x^2 - 2x - 1 = 0</math>.</p>
<p>1 mark 1 mark 1 mark 1 mark 2 marks Bookwork followed by standard homework exercises. 6 marks</p>	<p>9. <math>f : X \rightarrow Y</math> is <i>injective</i> if, for any <math>x_1</math> and <math>x_2 \in X</math>, <math>f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2</math> <math>A</math> is countable if <math>A</math> is empty or there is an injective map <math>f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}</math>. We can also take the codomain to be <math>\mathbb{Z}</math> or <math>\mathbb{Z}_+</math>. a) Uncountable. b) Countable. c) Countable.</p>

Section B

Theory from lectures  
3 marks

10.  $\sim$  is *reflexive* if

$$x \sim x \forall x \in X$$

$\sim$  is *symmetric* if

$$x \sim y \Rightarrow y \sim x \forall x, y \in X.$$

$\sim$  is *transitive* if

$$(x \sim y \wedge y \sim z) \Rightarrow x \sim z \forall x, y, z \in X.$$

Theory from lectures.  
2 marks

The equivalence class  $[x]$  of  $x$  is the set  $\{y \in X : y \sim x\}$ .

Standard homework exercise  
2 marks

a)  $n \mid n$  for all integers  $n$ . So  $\sim$  is *reflexive*. However  $1 \mid 2$  and  $2 \nmid 1$  so  $\sim$  is not symmetric and not an equivalence relation.

Standard homework exercise.  
3 marks

b) 5 divides  $0 = n - n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So  $\sim$  is *reflexive*. If  $5 \mid m - n$  then  $m - n = 5k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n - m = 5(-k)$  and since  $-k \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $5 \mid (n - m)$ . So  $m \sim n \Rightarrow n \sim m$  and  $\sim$  is *symmetric*. If  $5 \mid (m - n)$  and  $5 \mid (n - p)$  when  $m - n = 5k_1$  and  $n - p = 5k_2$  for some  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $m - p = 5(k_1 + k_2)$ , and  $k_1 + k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $5 \mid (m - p)$ . So  $(m \sim n \wedge n \sim p) \Rightarrow m \sim p$  and  $\sim$  is *transitive*. So  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

Standard exercise, with notation likely to prove more challenging  
3 marks

c) If  $f(x)$  is any polynomial,  $f(0) = f(0)$ . So  $\sim$  is *reflexive*. If  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are any polynomials and  $f(0) = g(0)$ , then  $g(0) = f(0)$ . So  $f(x) \sim g(x) \Rightarrow g(x) \sim f(x)$  and  $\sim$  is *symmetric*. If  $f(x), g(x)$  and  $h(x)$  are any three polynomials, and  $f(0) = g(0)$  and  $g(0) = h(0)$ , then  $f(0) = h(0)$ . So  $(f(x) \sim g(x) \wedge g(x) \sim h(x)) \Rightarrow f(x) \sim h(x)$  and  $\sim$  is *transitive*. So  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

Harder exercise, not previously set.  
2 marks

Write  $f(x) = x^2 - x$ . Then  $f(0) = 0$ . So  $[f(x)] = \{h(x) : h(0) = 0\}$ . Now if  $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  then

$$h(0) = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_0 = 0 \Leftrightarrow (h(x) = x \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^{i-1} \vee (n = 0 \wedge h(x) = 0))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow h(x) = xg(x) \text{ for some polynomial } g(x).$$

15 marks in total.

<p>Standard home-work exercise 1 mark 4 marks</p>	<p>11a) <i>Base case:</i> <math>2^2 - 1 = 3</math>. So <math>n &lt; 2^n - 1</math> holds for <math>n = 2</math>.</p> <p><i>Inductive step:</i> Suppose that for some <math>n \in \mathbb{Z}_+</math> we have <math>n &lt; 2^n - 1</math>. Then, for all <math>n \geq 2</math>,</p>
<p>1 mark Theory from lectures</p>	$2^{n+1} - 1 = 2 \times 2^n - 1 > 2n - 1 = n + n - 1 \geq n + 1$ <p>So <math>n &lt; 2^n - 1 \Rightarrow n + 1 &lt; 2^{n+1} - 1</math> So by induction <math>n &lt; 2^n - 1</math> is true for all integers <math>n \geq 2</math>.</p>
<p>Unseen, but similar to a step in 2 proofs from lectures. 3 marks</p>	<p>b) <math>\prod_{i=1}^n p_i</math> is divisible by <math>p_i</math> for all <math>1 \leq i \leq n</math>, and hence <math>1 + \prod_{i=1}^n p_i</math> is not divisible by <math>p_i</math> for any <math>1 \leq i \leq n</math>. But by the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, it must be divisible by some prime <math>p_i</math> with <math>i \geq n + 1</math>. So <math>p_{n+1} \leq 1 + \prod_{i=1}^n p_i</math>.</p>
<p>Unseen but with some similarity to some home-work exercises. 1 mark 4 marks</p>	<p><i>Base case:</i> <math>1 + \prod_{i=1}^1 p_i = 2 &lt; 3 = 4 - 1 = 2^{2^1} - 1</math>, so the base case <math>n = 1</math> is true.</p> <p><i>Inductive step</i> Fix <math>n \in \mathbb{Z}_+</math> and suppose that <math>\prod_{i=1}^n p_i &lt; 2^{2^n} - 1</math>. Then <math>p_{n+1} \leq 1 + \prod_{i=1}^n p_i &lt; 2^{2^n}</math>. So</p>
<p>1 mark 15 marks in total</p>	$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i &= \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \times p_{n+1} < (2^{2^n} - 1) \times 2^{2^n} = 2^{2^n} \times 2^{2^n} - 2^{2^n} \\ &\leq 2^{2^{n+1}} - 4 < 2^{2^{n+1}} - 1. \end{aligned}$ <p>So true for <math>n</math> implies true for <math>n + 1</math>, and, by induction, <math>\prod_{i=1}^n p_i &lt; 2^{2^n} - 1</math> for all <math>n \in \mathbb{Z}_+</math></p>

Bookwork 4 marks	<p>12 <math>f : X \rightarrow Y</math> is <i>injective</i> if, for all <math>x_1</math> and <math>x_2 \in X</math>,</p> $f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2.$
Bookwork, some similar exercises 3 marks	<p><math>f : X \rightarrow Y</math> is <i>surjective</i> if <math>\text{Im}(f) = Y</math> where <math>\text{Im}(f)</math> is defined to be the set <math>\{f(x) : x \in X\}</math>. <math>f : X \rightarrow Y</math> is a <i>bijection</i> if it is both injective and surjective.</p>
Bookwork 3 marks	<p>Suppose that <math>f : X \rightarrow Y</math> and <math>g : Y \rightarrow Z</math> are both injective and suppose that <math>x_1</math> and <math>x_2 \in X</math> and <math>g \circ f(x_1) = g \circ f(x_2)</math>, that is, <math>g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2))</math>. Then since <math>g</math> is injective we have <math>f(x_1) = f(x_2)</math>, and since <math>f</math> is injective, we have <math>x_1 = x_2</math>. So <math>g \circ f</math> is injective.</p>
Bookwork 3 marks	<p><i>Schröder Bernstein Theorem</i> Suppose that <math>X</math> and <math>Y</math> are sets and there are injective maps <math>f : X \rightarrow Y</math> and <math>g : Y \rightarrow X</math>. Then there is a bijection <math>h : X \rightarrow Y</math>.</p>
Standard exercise 3 marks	<p><math>f : (0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]</math> is injective where <math>f(x) = x</math>, for all <math>x \in (0, 1]</math>. Also, <math>g : [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]</math> is injective, where <math>g(x) = (x + 1)/2</math> for all <math>x \in [0, 1]</math>. Note that <math>\frac{1}{2} \leq g(x) \leq 1</math> for all <math>x \in [0, 1]</math>. So by the Schröder Bernstein theorem, there is a bijection between <math>(0, 1]</math> and <math>[0, 1]</math>.</p>
2 marks	<p>Suppose that <math>A_n</math> is countable for all <math>n</math>. Then there is an injective map <math>f_n : A_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+</math>. Let <math>g : \mathbb{Z}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+</math> be a bijection. Then define <math>h(x) = g(f_n(x), n)</math> for <math>x \in A_n</math>, for each <math>n \in \mathbb{Z}_+</math>. Then <math>h : \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+</math> is injective</p>
15 marks in total.	

<p>Theory from lectures 4 marks</p>	<p>13. A set <math>A \subset \mathbb{Q}</math> is a <i>Dedekind cut</i> if</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) <math>A \neq \emptyset</math></li> <li>(ii) <math>\mathbb{Q} \setminus A \neq \emptyset</math></li> <li>(iii) <math>x \in A \wedge y \in \mathbb{Q} \wedge y &lt; x \Rightarrow y \in A</math>;</li> <li>(iv) <math>A</math> does not have a maximal element.</li> </ul>
<p>Similar to homework exercises. 1 mark</p>	<p>a) <math>6 \in A</math> but <math>4 \notin A</math>. So property (iii) does not hold and <math>A</math> is not a Dedekind cut</p>
<p>3 marks</p>	<p>b) <math>0 \in A</math> and <math>6 \notin A</math>, so properties (i) and (ii) hold. If <math>x &lt; 5</math> and <math>y \in \mathbb{Q}</math> and <math>y &lt; x</math> then <math>y &lt; 5</math>, so property (iii) holds. Finally <math>A</math> does not have a maximal element. For suppose <math>a \in A</math>. Then <math>a &lt; 5</math> and hence <math>a &lt; (a + 5)/2 &lt; 5</math>. So if <math>a \in A</math> we also have <math>(a + 5)/2 \in A</math>, and <math>a</math> cannot be maximal in <math>A</math>. So <math>A</math> is a Dedekind cut</p>
<p>5 marks</p>	<p>c) <math>0 \in A</math> and <math>3 \notin A</math>. So properties (i) and (ii) hold for <math>A</math>. Now let <math>x \in A</math> and let <math>y \in \mathbb{Q}</math> and <math>y &lt; x</math>. If <math>y &lt; 0</math> then <math>y \in A</math>. If <math>0 \leq y &lt; x</math> then <math>0 \leq y^2 &lt; x^2 &lt; 5</math>, and, once again, <math>y \in A</math>. So property (iii) holds for <math>A</math>. Now suppose <math>a \in A</math>. If <math>a &lt; 2</math> then <math>x</math> is not maximal because <math>2 \in A</math>. So suppose <math>a \geq 2</math>. We also have <math>a &lt; 3</math> because if <math>a \geq 3</math> then <math>a^2 \geq 9 &gt; 5</math>. Now if <math>\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}</math> and <math>1 &gt; \varepsilon &gt; 0</math> then <math>(a + \varepsilon)^2 = a^2 + 2a\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 &lt; a^2 + 7\varepsilon</math>. So if <math>0 &lt; \varepsilon \leq (5 - a^2)/8</math> we see that <math>(a + \varepsilon)^2 &lt; 5</math> and <math>a</math> is not maximal in <math>A</math>. So property (iv) holds for <math>A</math> and <math>A</math> is a Dedekind cut.</p>
<p>2 marks</p>	<p>(iv) <math>0 \in A</math> but <math>-3 \notin A</math> so property (iii) is violated and <math>A</math> is not a Dedekind cut.</p>
<p>15 marks in total</p>	